Optimal Calibration Designs for Computerized Adaptive Testing

Angela Verschoor, Cito angela.verschoor@cito.nl



- Development phases of a test
- Some common designs
- Homogeneous designs
- Simulations & live results
- Conclusions



Development of a CAT

- Specifications: Purpose, Blueprint, etc.
- Item development
- Gathering data about items: parameters
- Design of the CAT
- Test administration



Gathering Data

- Estimation of item parameters through a sample of the population: errors!
- CAT pretesting or linear pretesting?
- More items need to be analyzed than test booklet length:
- Incomplete design: not every student in sample will take all items
- How to divide the items over the test booklets?



Some Common Designs (1)

Unlinked:



- How can we compare item difficulties over different test booklets?
- Item difficulties Student abilities

Some Common Designs (2)

Central Anchor:



- Some item parameters are estimated more precisely than others.
- Is this efficient?



Some Common Designs (3)



Some Common Designs (4)

• Balanced Block Design:

- All items observed equally
- All item pairs observed: detection of misfit (dependency!)



Optimization

- Can we exploit the advantages of BB while keeping the logistics manageable?
- Maximize number of item pairs
- Subject to maximum number of test booklets
- Subject to other constraints
- Homogeneous Designs:
- Overlap between test booklets as regular as possible



Experiments

- Simulations
- Rasch model
- Items: b ~ N(0,1)
- Population: theta ~N(0.2,1)
- a constant number of observations per booklet, and per item



Simulations (1)

- 3 item pools, 3 designs for each pool:
- 150 items, 30 items per booklet, 10 booklets
- 180 items, 30 items per booklet, 12 booklets
- 160 items, 20 items per booklet, 16 booklets
- Homogeneous, BI, BB
- 45, 66, 120 booklets (BB)
- 2250, 2640, 3600 students
- 450, 440, 450 observations per item
- Overlap (Hom.) 4-5, 2-3, 1-2



Simulations (2)

• Average Standard Error of b:

| | Hom | BI | BB |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| 150 | 0.114 | 0.121 | 0.114 |
| 180 | 0.114 | 0.122 | 0.114 |
| 160 | 0.117 | 0.134 | 0.117 |

- Reduction of 6 12%
- Reduction of 12 24% of sample size



Simulations (3)



Simulations (4)-Misfit

- Multidimensionality:
- Pool 150 items, booklet length = 30
- 10 items 2nd trait, uncorrelated

Item Fit Test: (p-value)

| Item | Hom. | BI | BB |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| 141 | 0.000 | 0.306 | 0.106 |
| 142 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.028 |
| 143 | 0.015 | 0.485 | 0.024 |
| 144 | 0.000 | 0.003 | 0.000 |
| 145 | 0.000 | 0.601 | 0.979 |
| 146 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| 147 | 0.000 | 0.046 | 0.069 |
| 148 | 0.000 | 0.077 | 0.035 |
| 149 | 0.000 | 0.097 | 0.049 |
| 150 | 0.000 | 0.015 | 0.007 |
| | | | |



Simulations (5)

- describe a perfect world
- Can we find similar advantages in the real world?
- Entrance test (11 yr. olds): approx. 130000 students per year 120 items Arithmetic, 2 PL



Arithmetic

Length 20, 3168 students sampled – 528 per item

| 100 repl. | Hom | BI | BB |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Booklets | 12 | 12 | 66 |
| se(b) | 0.116 | 0.127 | 0.116 |
| sd(b) | 0.115 | 0.130 | 0.117 |

Length 30, 2240 students sampled – 560 per item

| 100 repl. | Hom | BI | BB |
|-----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Booklets | 8 | 8 | 28 |
| se(b) | 0.110 | 0.113 | 0.111 |
| sd(b) | 0.109 | 0.115 | 0.110 |



Conclusions

- Establish overlaps as regular as possible between **all** test booklets
- Or, at least as many test booklets as possible



Thank you

?

angela.verschoor@cito.nl

